

From: "Neugeboren, Steven" <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>

To: "Havard, James" <Havard.James@epa.gov>

"Schroer, Lee" <schroer.lee@epa.gov>

"Brown, Leah" <Brown.Leah@epa.gov>


"Curtin, James" <curtin.james@epa.gov>

Date: 6/27/2018 8:32:26 AM

Subject: RE: Deschutes Bullets for David F

---

My comments attached. I highlighted in yellow to make it easy. John et al (b) (5) ACP

A large rectangular area of the email body is redacted with a solid grey block.

Steve Neugeboren

Associate General Counsel for Water

U.S. EPA

1200 Pennsylvania Ave., NW

Washington, DC 20460

202 (564-5488)

From: Havard, James

Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2018 10:49 AM

To: Schroer, Lee <schroer.lee@epa.gov>; Brown, Leah <Brown.Leah@epa.gov>; Curtin, James <curtin.james@epa.gov>; Neugeboren, Steven <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov>

Cc: Stern, Allyn <Stern.Allyn@epa.gov>; Steiner-Riley, Cara <Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov>; Hodgkiss, Miranda <Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov>; Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov>; Goodin, John <Goodin.John@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: Deschutes Bullets for David F

Importance: High

Here are comments from the WB. Looping in John Goodin as well in case he will review as well.

Thanks

Jim

10/24/2018

From: Schroer, Lee  
Sent: Wednesday, June 27, 2018 8:49 AM  
To: Brown, Leah <Brown.Leah@epa.gov <mailto:Brown.Leah@epa.gov> >; Curtin, James <curtin.james@epa.gov <mailto:curtin.james@epa.gov> >; Neugeboren, Steven <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov <mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov> >; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov <mailto:Havard.James@epa.gov> >  
Cc: Stern, Allyn <Stern.Allyn@epa.gov <mailto:Stern.Allyn@epa.gov> >; Steiner-Riley, Cara <Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov <mailto:Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov> >; Hodgkiss, Miranda <Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov <mailto:Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov> >; Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov <mailto:Croxton.David@epa.gov> >  
Subject: RE: Deschutes Bullets for David F

Jim,

I added some comments on Leah's version.

Lee C. Schroer

Office of General Counsel (Mail Code 2355A)

Room 7518C William Jefferson Clinton Bldg North

Environmental Protection Agency

1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.


Washington, D.C. 20460

Tel: 202-564-5476

From: Brown, Leah  
Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 8:41 PM  
To: Curtin, James <curtin.james@epa.gov <mailto:curtin.james@epa.gov> >; Neugeboren, Steven <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov <mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov> >; Schroer, Lee <schroer.lee@epa.gov <mailto:schroer.lee@epa.gov> >; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov <mailto:Havard.James@epa.gov> >  
Cc: Stern, Allyn <Stern.Allyn@epa.gov <mailto:Stern.Allyn@epa.gov> >; Steiner-Riley, Cara <Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov <mailto:Steiner-Riley.Cara@epa.gov> >; Hodgkiss, Miranda <Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov <mailto:Hodgkiss.Miranda@epa.gov> >; Croxton, David <Croxton.David@epa.gov <mailto:Croxton.David@epa.gov> >  
Subject: RE: Deschutes Bullets for David F

Thanks, Jim. My comments are attached. (b) (5) ACP

(b) (5) ACP



Leah Brown

Assistant Regional Counsel

(206) 553-8694

brown.leah@epa.gov <mailto:brown.leah@epa.gov>

From: Curtin, James

Sent: Tuesday, June 26, 2018 2:50 PM

To: Neugeboren, Steven <Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov <mailto:Neugeboren.Steven@epa.gov> >; Schroer, Lee <schroer.lee@epa.gov <mailto:schroer.lee@epa.gov> >; Havard, James <Havard.James@epa.gov <mailto:Havard.James@epa.gov> >; Brown, Leah <Brown.Leah@epa.gov <mailto:Brown.Leah@epa.gov> >

Subject: Deschutes Bullets for David F

David F has asked me to prepare some bullets discussing any (b)(5) attorney-client  
the proposed Deschutes decision. Here's a draft. If you get comments back to me by 10am Wednesday morning, I can get this to David by noon.

Thanks.

Jim

Jim Curtin

USEPA Office of General Counsel

Water Law Office

202-564-5482

William Jefferson Clinton North Rm. 7451

# Deschutes TMDL Decision Document: (b) (5) - ACP

This paper identifies and discusses two legal and programmatic issues presented by Region 10's proposed Deschutes Watershed TMDL decision. EPA is under court order to "complete its action" by June 29, 2018, on the State of Washington's 73 TMDLs, submitted in 2015 and amended in 2017, for bacteria, temperature, DO, pH and fine sediment.

Region 10 and ORC have coordinated closely with OWOW and staff in OGC during the Deschutes TMDL decision process and the drafting of all supporting documents. Additionally, Region 10 has been closely coordinating with Washington Department of Ecology ("Ecology"). Ecology is aware of EPA's proposed decision, and Region 10 staff met with Ecology staff last week to discuss the potential replacement TMDLs. R10's proposed decision is as follows:

- **Approve 26 TMDLs** for temperature.
- **Disapprove 14 TMDLs** for bacteria because Washington revised their TMDL calculations after submittal w/o providing additional public notice as required by 40 CFR 130.7(c)(1)(ii). R10 found that these TMDLs were otherwise approvable as a technical matter.
- **Disapprove 23 TMDLs** for temperature, bacteria, DO, pH and fine sediment on a variety of grounds (some in combination). These include (1) TMDL submission lacks required elements, e.g., loading capacity calculations, wasteload allocations and load allocations (130.2; 130.7); (2) TMDL fails to implement Washington's applicable narrative WQS requiring protection of protect downstream criteria WQS as required by Washington's own narrative WQS; and (3) the TMDL lacks a clear "linkage analysis" showing that the TMDL target is set at a level to implement applicable WQS.
- **Take no action on 10 TMDLs** for bacteria because the waters have been removed from Washington's 303(d) list as no longer impaired.

(b) (5) ACP

(b) (5) - ACP

(b) (5) - ACP

FOIA exemption (b)(5) ACP

FOIA exemption (b)(5) ACP